

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,470	03/01/2004	Francois R. Gaultier	003875.P012	2122
8791	7590 06/17/2005		EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			YUN, J	URIE
12400 WILS SEVENTH I	SHIRE BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
~	LES, CA 90025-1030		2882	
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	H:A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	—— <i>1</i> 77)
	10/791,470	GAULTIER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Jurie Yun	2882 vith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply		nan ano oon ooponaanoo aaa, ooo	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communical BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status	•		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	7/27/04.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applie	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 10-21</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	•	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 27 July 2004 is/ar		cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	согтесtion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		3 113(a)-(d) of (f).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of th		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
application from the International E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
* See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.	
Attach mont/o)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗖 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (F10-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-9-		(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/	SB/08) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	i.
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	 -	

Application/Control Number: 10/791,470 Page 2

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 11 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: it is assumed that there is a typo because the claims as presented are not understandable. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 3, it is assumed that "support frames" should be "support frame". Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 9, it is assumed that the word "than" should be inserted after "(C)", and the following word "and" should be deleted, and has been treated as such (i.e. "(C) than a point (P2) on the second ..."). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what is being claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 2882

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claims 1-4, 7, 13-17, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duinker (USPN 4,266,136).
- 8. With respect to claims 1, 13, 14, and 21, Duinker discloses an X-ray based non-intrusive inspection apparatus/method, comprising: an object support (column 1, lines 26-27); a gantry (Fig. 1) being rotatable about a center axis relative to an object (3) held by the object support; an X-ray source (1) mounted to the gantry and providing X-rays that transmit through the object, the X-rays having an included angle (shown in Fig. 1) between first and second shadow lines such that a circle of reconstruction is formed upon rotation of the gantry having a radius from the center axis to a closest point on the first shadow line, a distance from the center axis to a closest point on the second shadow line being less than the radius (column 2, lines 48-53); and a plurality of detectors (2) located in a position to detect the X-rays after leaving the object. Duinker discloses all of the elements except for a support frame. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a support frame in the Duinker apparatus, to securely position the gantry with its rotating parts.
- 9. With respect to claims 2 and 15, Duinker does not specifically disclose the included angle is less than 75 degrees, however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select an angle appropriate to the size of the object being examined.

Art Unit: 2882

10. With respect to claims 3, 4, 16, and 17, Duinker discloses the radius is at least twice the distance (the distance being zero).

- 11. With respect to claim 7, Duinker discloses the detectors (Fig. 1, 2) are on a curve having a center axis at the X-ray source (1).
- 12. With respect to claim 20, Duinker discloses the X-ray source (1) rotates relative to a frame and the object (3) does not rotate relative to the frame (column 3, lines 14+).
- 13. Claims 5 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duinker (USPN 4,266,136) as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Dafni et al. (USPN 5,966,422).
- 14. With respect to claims 5 and 18, Duinker does not disclose a plurality of X-ray sources mounted at different positions about the center axis. Dafni et al. disclose a plurality of X-ray sources (12A, 12B, and 12C) mounted at different positions about the center axis. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a plurality of sources in the Duinker apparatus, to reduce examination time, as taught by Dafni et al. (column 8, line 62 column 9, line 4).
- 15. Claims 6 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duinker (USPN 4,266,136) as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Hoffman (USPN 6,859,514 B2).
- 16. With respect to claims 6 and 19, Duinker does not disclose the object support is a conveyor belt. Hoffman discloses an object support being a conveyor belt (Fig. 7,

Application/Control Number: 10/791,470

Art Unit: 2882

112). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a conveyor belt in the Duinker apparatus, if inspecting objects as opposed to humans, and to facilitate inspection of many objects without having to handle the individual objects.

- 17. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duinker (USPN 4,266,136) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Gordon et al. (USPN 5,867,553).
- 18. With respect to claim 10, Duinker does not disclose the X-ray source includes a vacuum envelope, an electron source providing an electron beam in the vacuum envelope, and a target having a surface on which the electron beam is directed, the surface, when viewed in cross-section in a plane of the center axis, being an angle other than normal to the electron beam so that the X-rays radiate toward the center axis. Gordon et al. disclose an X-ray source (Fig. 2, 42) includes a vacuum envelope, an electron source (80) providing an electron beam (82) in the vacuum envelope, and a target (43) having a surface on which the electron beam is directed, the surface, when viewed in cross-section in a plane of the center axis, being an angle other than normal to the electron beam so that the X-rays radiate toward the center axis. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the X-ray source of Duinker include a vacuum envelope, an electron source providing an electron beam in the vacuum envelope, and a target having a surface on which the electron beam is directed, the surface, when viewed in cross-section in a

Application/Control Number: 10/791,470 Page 6

Art Unit: 2882

plane of the center axis, being an angle other than normal to the electron beam so that the X-rays radiate toward the center axis, as taught by Gordon et al., to enable precise control of the X-ray beam.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 19. Claims 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 20. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art fails to disclose an X-ray based non-intrusive inspection apparatus wherein the detectors are on a curve having a center axis that is not at the X-ray source, as claimed in claim 8.

Conclusion

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jurie Yun whose telephone number is 571 272-2497. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Glick can be reached on 571 272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,470

Art Unit: 2882

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jurie Yun June 9, 2005

EDWARD / GLICK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER